

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/512,034		10/20/2004	Heinz-Peter Rink	PAT-01033	PAT-01033 2212	
26922	7590	05/03/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BASF COR			TESKIN, I	TESKIN, FRED M		
1609 BIDDL WYANDOT		· <del></del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			1713		
				DATE MAILED: 05/03/2006	DATE MAILED: 05/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\sim$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/512,034	RINK ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>				
	Fred M. Teskin	1713					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communica ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 S	September 2005.						
	s action is non-final.		•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims			•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-7 and 9</u> is/are pending in the a							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-7 is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement						
are subject to resultation arrays	or crocker requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	= • •	•	• •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xammer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TOTAL PTO-152	<b>2.</b>				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	• •						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	· ·	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	- 4					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	<b>3</b> 0.	•				
Attachment(s)	A) 🔲 latan dan (0)	· (DTO 442)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date					
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
- aper rio(s)rivian date	o,						

Application/Control Number: 10/512,034

Art Unit: 1713

The reply of September 16, 2005 having been entered, claims 1-3, 5-7 and 9 remain pending and under examination.

Applicant's arguments, see pages 4-6, filed September 16, 2005, with respect to Mezger and Clouet et al have been fully considered and are persuasive. In this regard, the submission from *Kirk-Othmer* is cited as evidence of the reaction of an organic isocyanate and a thioalcohol (thiol) yielding a thiocarbamate product diverse from the dithiocarbamates and thiocarbamate-functional polymers taught by Mezger and Clouet et al, respectively (*viz.*, RNHC(O)SR'; *see*, submission, p. 12, third full paragraph). The rejection of claims 1-3 and 5-7 has been withdrawn.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 9 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2396997 to Fryling.

The basis of the rejection is adequately set forth in the prior Office action and that explanation is incorporated herein by reference.

Applicants' arguments filed September 16, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive of error in the repeated rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/512,034

Art Unit: 1713

Applicants argue, in essence, that Fryling's disclosure does not suggest the particular structures of applicants' thiocarbamates resulting from the reaction of thiols and isocyanate functional compounds (Reply, p. 9).

The difficulty with this position is that, while claim 1 has been amended to require a reaction product of an organic compound containing at least one isocyanate group and at least one thiol, claim 9 is not so limited. Instead, the latter *independent* claim (represented in original form) merely requires regulating free-radical (co)polymerization of at least one olefinically unsaturated monomer by adding a thiocarbamate-functional organic compound to the (co)polymerization. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Applicants do not dispute that Fryling teaches the polymerization of unsaturated organic compounds in the presence of sulfur-containing *modifiers* of polymerization (p. 1, II. 33+ of right-hand column) and exemplifies the polymerization of various ethylenically unsaturated monomers in the presence of putative free-radical initiators (e.g., hydrogen peroxide and benzoyl peroxide per Examples 1 and 4). Moreover, applicants acknowledge the disclosure of *thiocarbamates* on page 3 of Fryling (Reply, p. 9, fourth paragraph), but urge that such disclosure does not disclose or suggest the particular structure of applicants' thiocarbamates resulting from the reaction of thiols and isocyanate functional compounds.

Such reaction is not, however, a limitation of claim 9, which merely calls for adding a thiocarbamate-functional compound to the free-radical (co)polymerization of at

least one olefinically unsaturated monomer. Thiocarbamate-functional compounds are admittedly disclosed by Fryling and the examiner's rationale for adding such modifier compound to the Fryling polymerization, as expressed in the prior action, has not been challenged.

Accordingly, the continued rejection of claim 9 is still deemed tenable and therefore must be maintained.

Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are allowable over the prior art of record.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner F. M. Teskin whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 1713

(571) 272-1116. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday

from 7:00 AM - 4:30 PM, and can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FMTeskin/04-28-06

FREDTESKIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

.1713